

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                                  | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.          | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|------------------------------|------------------|
| 10/662,841                                       | 09/15/2003  | Kenneth R. Schmidt   | GP-303099                    | 1190             |
| 7590 01/12/2006                                  |             |                      | EXAMINER                     |                  |
| KATHRYN A MARRA                                  |             |                      | KERNS, KEVIN P               |                  |
| General Motors Corporation Mail Code 482-C23-B21 |             |                      | ART UNIT                     | PAPER NUMBER     |
| P.O. Box 300<br>Detroit, MI 48265-3000           |             |                      | 1725 DATE MAILED: 01/12/2006 |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  |  |  | V |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|---|--|--|--|
|  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |   |  |  |  |
|  | 10/662,841   | SCHMIDT ET AL.                                       |   |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner   | Art Unit   |   |  |  |  |
|  | Kevin P. Kerns   | 1725   |   |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply   |  |  |   |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |  |  |   |  |  |  |
| <b>Ștatus</b>  |  |  |   |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 Octo</li> <li>2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This</li> <li>3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E</li> </ul>   | action is non-final.<br>ace except for formal matters, pro   | osecution as to the merits is                        |   |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |  |  |   |  |  |  |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3,6-15,19 and 21-24 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6,8,9,12,15,19,21 and 24 is/are allowe 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3,7,10,11,13,14,22 and 23 is/are rej 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or   | vn from consideration. ed. ected.  |  |   |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   |  |  |   |  |  |  |
| 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 15 September 2003 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex   | re: a) $\square$ accepted or b) $\square$ object<br>drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See<br>on is required if the drawing(s) is object. | e 37 CFR 1.85(a).<br>jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). |   |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |  |  |   |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>  |  |  |   |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  |  |  |   |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date   | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:   | (PTO-413)<br>ate<br>Patent Application (PTO-152)     |   |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/662,841 Page 2

Art Unit: 1725

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 1-3, 7, 10, 11, 13, 14, 22, and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bertels (US 3,202,793) in view of Wang et al. (US 6,373,021).

Bertels discloses a process and member for joining by welding light metals with steel, in which the process and member includes welding a metal sheet (steel plate 9, which has a planar surface on its side to be welded) to an edge of a metal bridging patch (zinc-plated strip member 10), with the strip 10 being welded on its other edge to an aluminum tube 8, such that the welds are fillet welds (12,13) accomplished in a conventional manner, including arc welding, for example (column 1, lines 11-13 and 59-

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/662,841

Art Unit: 1725

72; column 2, lines 1-22; and Figures 1 and 2). Although Bertels does not specifically disclose the use of spot welding, drawn arc welding, or a tube thickness, one of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized that selection of an optimum tube thickness would provide sufficient heat transfer properties while providing sufficient strength and rigidity to the structure. Furthermore, Bertels discloses "a conventional manner, e.g. by arc welding", but one of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized that other welding techniques, including spot welding and drawn arc welding, would have been obvious to the welding artisan, with selection of the welding technique being dependent on materials and/or dimensions of the workpieces to be welded. Bertels does not disclose that the process and member being welded includes welding of a metal sheet to a major side (rather than an edge) of a bridging patch.

However, Wang et al. disclose a method of welding that includes the steps of providing respective major sides of first and second hydroformed conductive workpieces (10,12), one of which is tubular, and the other (major side of a flat sheet) includes a plurality of spot welding projections (28a-28d); contacting a portion of the workpieces (10,12) together; and conducting electricity between the workpieces (10,12) through the projections (circular protrusions 28a-28d) via a pair of electrodes (44,46), such that a roof panel of a vehicle (Figure 4) is formed as a final product, with welding of a metal sheet to a major side (rather than an edge) of a bridging patch being advantageous for obtaining an automotive vehicle structure with improved control over weld nugget formation, reduced energy consumption, and prolonged electrode life (abstract; column 1, lines 29-46; column 2, lines 6-67; column 3, lines 1-30; and Figures 1-4).

Art Unit: 1725

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the applicants' invention was made to modify the process and member for joining by welding light metals with steel, as disclosed by Bertels, by welding of a metal sheet to a major side of a bridging patch, as taught by Wang et al., in order to obtain an automotive vehicle structure with improved control over weld nugget formation, reduced energy consumption, and prolonged electrode life (Wang et al.; column 1, lines 29-46).

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 6, 8, 9, 12, 15, 19, 21, and 24 are allowed.
- 5. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the reasons for indicating allowable subject matter for independent claims 6, 8, 12, 15, and 24 are unchanged from those indicated in the prior Office Actions.

  However, the additional prior art necessitates a new ground of rejection for dependent claim 14, which was previously indicated as allowable subject matter.

### Response to Arguments

6. The examiner acknowledges the applicants' after final amendment provided with the request for continued examination, which were received by the USPTO on October 27, 2005 and December 6, 2005, respectively. The amendment overcomes the prior objection to claim 1. The applicants have cancelled claim 25. Claims 1-3, 6-15, 19, and 21-24 are currently under consideration in the application.

Application/Control Number: 10/662,841 Page 5

Art Unit: 1725

7. Applicants' arguments with respect to claims 1-3, 7, 10, 11, 13, 14, 22, and 23

have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Dr. Kevin P. Kerns whose telephone number is (571)

272-1178. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00am-

5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be reached on (571) 272-1292. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kevin P. Kerns Kern Kurus 12/20/05 Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1725

KPK

December 20, 2005